Graduate Thesis Assessment Rubric Department of English, Faculty of Education, University of West Bohemia

Thesis Author:

František Lejsek

Title:

Teaching writing skills" Process writing

Length:

56 pages

Text Length:

49 pages

Λ.	Assessment Criteria Scale Comments			
1.		Scale	Comments	
1.	Introduction is well written, brief, interesting, and compelling. It	Outstanding		
	motivates the work and provides a	Very good		
		Acceptable		
	clear statement of the problem. It	Somewhat deficient		
	places the problem in context. It	Very deficient		
ĺ	presents and overview of the thesis.			
2.	litoratura			
۷.	Literature review is comprehensive and	Outstanding	The author shows familiarity with the	
	complete. It synthesizes a variety of	Very good	major topics in teaching writing.	
1	sources and provides context for the research. It shows the author's	Acceptable	Although the chapter provides a good	
		Somewhat deficient	theoretical foundation for the author's	
	understanding of the most relevant	Very deficient	research, it could have included a bit	
	literature on the subject matter.		more references on the topic of process	
			writing.	
3.	The methodology chapter provides	0		
٦.	clear and thorough description of the	Outstanding	i .	
	research methodology. It discusses	Very good		
	why and what methods were chosen	Acceptable		
	for research. The research	Somewhat deficient		
	methodology is appropriate for the	Very deficient		
	identified research questions.			
	dentined research questions.			
4.	The results/data are analyzed and	Outstanding	The presentation of the results in the	
	interpreted effectively. The chapter	Very good	The presentation of the results is well-	
	ties the theory with the findings. It	Acceptable	structured and easy to follow.	
	addresses the applications and	Somewhat deficient		
	implications of the research. It	Very deficient		
	discusses strengths, weaknesses, and	very dendent		
	limitations of the research.			
		1		
5.	The thesis shows critical and analytical	Outstanding		
	thinking about the area of study and	Very good		
	the author's expertise in this area.	Acceptable		
		Somewhat deficient	1	
	ļ	Very deficient		
_		-		
6.	The text is organized in a logical	Outstanding		
	manner. It flows naturally and is easy	Very good		

	to follow. Transitions, summaries and conclusions exist as appropriate. The author demonstrates high quality writing skills and uses standard spelling, grammar, and punctuation.	Acceptable Somewhat deficient Very deficient	
7.	The thesis meets the general requirements (formatting, chapters, length, division into sections, etc.). References are cited properly within the text and a complete reference list is provided.	Outstanding Very good Acceptable Somewhat deficient Very deficient	

Final Comments & Questions

In his thesis, Mr. Lejsek examined the writing performance of English language learners closely. The author clearly invested a lot of time and energy into the project. The presented results make the reader consider the examined subject matter and what needs to be considered in implementing process writing with language learners unfamiliar with the process.

The thesis clearly shows that Mr. Lejsek has gained expertise and experience in teaching writing skills. It shows Mr. Lejsek's ability to choose a topic, identify and review relevant literature, and conduct action research to give him insights into teaching practices. Although some flaws can be identified in the thesis, it is obvious that the thesis was driven by Mr. Lejsek's interest in the researched topic. For these very reasons, it has been a very valuable project for the author, and it is obvious that Mr. Lejsek recognizes the value of spending class time on writing skills.

I suggest the author be awarded "very good" for his thesis project.

Supervisor: Mgr. Gabriela Klečková, Ph.D.

Date: June 3, 2024

Signature: